

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was *not* written for publication and is *not* binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte EDWARD G. CALLWAY, ALLEN J. C. PORTER,
CHUN-CHIN DAVID YEH and PHILIP L. SWAN

Appeal 2006-1828
Application 09/213,748
Technology Center 2600

Decided: May 2, 2007

Before FRED E. MCKELVEY, *Senior Administrative Patent Judge*,
ALLEN R. MACDONALD, and JAY P. LUCAS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

Per Curium

SECOND ERRATUM

In our decision mailed February 27, 2007, the CONCLUSIONS OF LAW section on the last two pages of the Opinion is corrected to read:

Appeal 2006-1828
Application 09/213,748

(1) Appellants have failed to establish that the Examiner erred in rejecting claims 2-4, 6-11, 14, 17, 19-23, 26-28, 30, and 38 as being unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Fujimoto and Porter.

(2) Claims 2-4, 6-11, 14, 17, 19-23, 26-28, 30 and 38 are not patentable.

(3) Appellants have established that the Examiner erred in rejecting claims 18 and 29 as being unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Fujimoto and Porter.

(4) On this record, claims 18 and 29 have not been shown to be unpatentable.

Time periods under 37 CFR § 41.52 run from the mail date of this erratum.

tdl

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC.
C/O VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ, P.C.
222 N. LASALLE STREET
CHICAGO IL 60601